	IN THE UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT PICHADA 2000
	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIAOAKIANOFCALLECTION
LOURDES	GARCIA-GARCIA,	No. C 05-01356 CW
	Petitioner,	ORDER OF REMAND
v. ALBERTO General,	R. GONZALEZ, Attorney	(COA No. 04-73705) (Agency No. A76-215-392)
	Respondent.	

On March 31, 2005, The United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit issued a Mandate transferring the petition for writ of habeas corpus in the above-captioned action to the United States District Court for remand to the Bureau of Immigration Appeals for further proceedings. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the above-captioned petition for habeas corpus is remanded to the Bureau of Immigration Appeals for further proceedings.

APR 18 2005 Dated

United States District Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In the interests of justice, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals treated the petition for review as a petition for habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241.

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

**ORIGINAL** 

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

MAR 3 1 2005

LOURDES GARCIA-GARCIA.

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES\*, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 04-73705

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

Agency No. A76-215-392

ORDER

APR - 4 2005

RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

C-05-01356-CW

Before: B. FLETCHER, TROTT and PAEZ, Circuit Judges

The Board of Immigration Appeals ("BIA") acted *ultra vires* in issuing an order of removal in the first instance. *See Molina-Camacho v. Ashcroft*, 393 F.3d 937, 939 (9th Cir. 2004). Because the BIA did not remand this matter to the Immigration Judge to issue a removal order, no valid final order of removal exists, and we therefore lack jurisdiction over this petition for review. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252; *Molina-Camacho*, 393 F.3d at 942.

<sup>\*</sup> Alberto R. Gonzales is substituted for his predecessor, John Ashcroft, as Attorney General of the United States, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 43(c)(2).

04-73705

In the interests of justice, however, we treat the petition for review as a petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 and transfer the petition to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 1631. Upon transfer, the district court should remand to the agency for further proceedings. See Molina-Camacho, 393 F.3d at 942 n.4.

All pending motions are denied as moot.

TRANSFERRED.

THE THE STATES

A TRUE COPY
CATHY A. CATTERSON
Clerk of Court
ATTEST

MAR 3 1 2005

by:
Deputy Clerk

S:\MOATT\Panelord\03.23.05\orders\mg3\04-73705.wpd2

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Garcia-Garcia,

Case Number: CV05-01356 CW

Plaintiff,

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

V.

Ashcroft et al.

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on April 18, 2005, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

John L. Davis Office of Immigration Litigation U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 878 Ben Franklin Station Washington, DC 20044

Rochelle A. Fortier Nwadibia Privitera & Nwadibia 220 Sansome Street Suite 520 San Francisco, CA 94108

Ronald E. LeFevre Office of the District Counsel P.O. Box 26449 San Francisco, CA 94126-6449

U.S. Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Attorney General U.S. Department of Justice Washington, DC 20530 Dated: April 18, 2005

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: Sheilah Cahill, Deputy Clerk